

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/837,072	04/18/2001	Viktor Brost	655.00955	8832	
7590 04/05/2005			EXAMINER		
	LIPS, VanSANTEN,			···	
CLARK & MORTIMER Suite 3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
500 West Madis					
Chicago, IL 6	0661		DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	UD			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		09/837,072	BROST ET AL.	67			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tho v Duong	3743				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>13 January 2005</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.							
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.							
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed or confirmed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3.	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5.	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7. 🛛	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):					
	<u>-</u>						
		Tho Exa	v Duong miner Jnit: 3743				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 9-04) Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF 37 CFR 41.37 (C)

The brief does not contain a copy of the claims involved in the appeal in the Appendix.

The brief contains "Grouping of claims", which should not be included in the brief.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennet can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho v Duong

Examiner

Art Unit 3743

0

April 1, 2005